Erciyes Med J 2020; 42(1): 1–2 • DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.35336 EDITORIAL COMMENTS – OPEN ACCESS

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License



Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy for Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock

Zeynep Burçin Gönen¹ (b), Gökçen Dinç² (b), Mehmet Doğanay³ (b)

Sepsis is a serious and life-threatening clinical syndrome resulting in tissue perfusion disorder and can lead to organ dysfunction, shock, and even death. Although the rate of mortality is still high (30%–70%), it is associated with half of all in-hospital deaths. It is also one of the high cost diseases worldwide.

The host immune response to the infection is critical. Although early-phase sepsis is characterized by a hyperinflammatory immune response, the later phase of sepsis is often complicated by suppression (1, 2). Continuous developments have been achieved in understanding the pathogenesis, and the treatment of sepsis has also clearly improved in the last four decades; however, no specific sepsis therapy exists. Currently, principles of sepsis management are based on the following points: early diagnosis and prompt initiation of sepsis management, severity of sepsis, etiologic diagnosis, effective antimicrobial therapy, source control, maintain tissue perfusion/oxygenation and preserve organ function, and prevent complications during/following the episode of severe sepsis. Sepsis is a medical emergency and therefore, when sepsis is suspected, antimicrobial treatment should be initiated within the first one hour. Antibiotic resistance is also a growing problem worldwide. A limited number of antibiotics such as colistin and carbapenem are useful in treating resistant bacterial infections. Moreover, it seems that there will be no new antibiotics in the near future for clinical use (2–4).

Although there are no therapies directing to modify the pathophysiology and injury mechanisms of sepsis, supportive management remains crucial. Studies over the last four decades have been focused on suppressing the early proinflammatory response to sepsis. To date, more than 40 unsuccessful clinical trials have been reported for agents that reduce pathogen recognition and/or block proinflammatory cytokines and/or inflammation-signaling pathways in sepsis (3, 4).

Recently, murine models of sepsis have increasingly been used because of their lower cost, shorter generation time, ease of housing and care, easier application methods, and the presence of transgenic species (5). The experimental murine models of sepsis are broadly divided into three categories: host barrier disruption models (including cecal ligation and incision, cecal ligation and puncture, and colon ascendant stent peritonitis), exogenous administration of a viable pathogen (*Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, etc.) and administration of a bacterial toxin (LPS, lipoteichoic acid, peptidoglycan, zymosan, etc.). Especially in recent years, extensive use of broad-spectrum antibiotics has resulted in the emergence of multiple drug resistant nosocomial agents, and this has made the treatment of infections difficult (6). Moreover, in the absence of a new antibiotic, alternative treatment options have emerged. Therefore, the use of mono or combined therapy including available antibiotics or cellular therapy for the treatment of sepsis has been brought to the agenda (6). In addition, stem cells may be an emerging candidate for treatment of sepsis and can be considered as an alternative therapeutic approach.

Mesenchymal stem or stromal cells (MSCs) are adult cell population with self-renewal ability and are multipotent (7). They express cluster of differentiation (CD) 73, CD90, and CD105 surface markers while lacking CD34 and CD45, which are related to hematopoietic cell expression (7). Bone-marrow, umbilical Wharton jelly tissue, dental tissues, adipose or fat tissue, skin/foreskin, and several tissues may be sources of MSCs (8–13). MSCs have immunoregulation and tissue-repair roles beside their antimicrobial capacities (9–15). Mei et al. reported the direct bactericidal activity of MSCs is due to increased bacterial phagocytosis by macrophages (9). MSCs can produce antibacterial agents such as prostaglandin E2 (10) and LL-37 peptide (11). Efficacy of MSCs against gram-negative and -positive organisms related to bacterial pneumonia (12) and its antiviral effect in (13) preclinical models have been reported. The immune-modulatory factors including interleukin-10 (IL-10), IL-1, IL-6, leukocyte inhibitory factor (Lif), prostaglandin E2, and hepatocyte growth factors which are secreted by MSCs may limit immune responses. Moreover, MSCs are involved in the maturation of immune cell populations that results in increased number of regulatory T cells, anti-inflammatory T helper II cells, second subgroup of dendritic cells (DC) and an-

Cite this article as: Gönen ZB, Dinç G, Doğanay M. Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy for Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock. Erciyes Med J 2020; 42(1): 1-2.

¹Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Genome and Stem Cell Center (GENKOK), Ercives University, Kayseri, Turkey ²Department of Medical Microbiology, Ercives University Faculty of Medicine, Kayseri, Turkey ³Department of Infectious Diseases, Ercives University Faculty of Medicine, Kayseri, Turkey

Submitted 09.12.2019

Accepted 12.12.2019

Available Online Date 13.01.2020

Correspondence Zeynep Burçin Gönen, Genome and Stem Cell Center (GENKOK), Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey Phone: +90 352 207 66 66-13675 e-mail: zeynepburcin@erciyes.edu.tr

©Copyright 2020 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine -Available online at www.erciyesmedj.com ti-inflammatory M2 macrophages, whereas number of proinflammatory T helper I cells, first subgroup of DCs, natural killer cells decreases; moreover, MSCs reduce IgG production from B cells (14). MSCs may enhance tissue repair and restoration after sepsis and restore endothelial barrier function, which is mediated, partly, by secretion of factors that enhance resolution of tissue injury (15).

The immunosuppression and immunomodulation properties of MSCs are desirable and have been evaluated in a wide spectrum of clinical studies. MSC therapy offers a promising treatment option for several conditions including autoimmune diseases, heart diseases, and transplant surgeries over the past 25 years. The results of these cell application procedures have exhibited an excellent safety profile (14). Recently, over 1043 clinical trials involving MSCs have been planned for different indications and were registered in clinicaltrials.gov, these clinical trials enrolled 47,548 patients (14); however, of these only six clinical trials were assessed the effect of MSCs on sepsis or septic shock (2).

Preclinical studies have demonstrated the potential of MSCs for sepsis therapy (9–13). The MSCs' mechanisms of actions have been increasingly well characterized *in vitro* and in preclinical studies that include modulation of the immune cell response, reduction of host injury from the proinflammatory response while augmenting bacterial clearance by indirect and direct mechanisms of action, and enhanced resolution of inflammation and enhanced tissue repair after injury (14). Although there have been limited clinical trials, initial reports are encouraging. However, considerable barriers relating to sepsis and MSCs as a treatment exists that significantly impede the clinical translation of MSCs for treatment of patients with sepsis, which needs to be overcome if the therapeutic potential of MSCs is to be realized.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – ZBG, GD, MD; Design – MD, ZBG, GD; Supervision – MD; Literature Search – ZBG, GD, MD; Writing – ZBG, GD, MD; Critical Reviews – MD.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

 Lyle NH, Pena OM, Boyd JH, Hancock RE. Barriers to the effective treatment of sepsis: antimicrobial agents, sepsis definitions, and hostdirected therapies. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2014;1323:101–14. [CrossRef]

- Keane C, Jerkic M, Laffey JG. Stem Cell-based Therapies for Sepsis. Anesthesiology 2017; 127(6): 1017–34.[CrossRef]
- Cohen J, Opal S, Calandra T. Sepsis studies need new direction. Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12(7): 503–5. [CrossRef]
- Alhashem F, Tiren-Verbeet NL, Alp E, Doganay M. Treatment of sepsis: What is the antibiotic choice in bacteremia due to carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae? World J Clin Cases 2017; 5(8): 324–32.
- Lewis AJ, Seymour CW, Rosengart MR. Current Murine Models of Sepsis. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2016; 17(4): 385–93. [CrossRef]
- Dinc G, Demiraslan H, Elmali F, Ahmed SS, Alp E, Doganay M. Antimicrobial efficacy of doripenem and its combinations with sulbactam, amikacin, colistin, tigecycline in experimental sepsis of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. New Microbiol 2015; 38(1): 67–73.
- Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, Krause D, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. Cytotherapy 2006; 8(4): 315–7. [CrossRef]
- Gönen ZB, Demirci S, Doğan A, Sardoğan A, Ekizer A, Alkan A, et al. Characteristics of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Derived from the Apical Papilla of a Supernumerary Tooth Compared to Stem Cells Derived from the Dental Pulp. Erciyes Med J 2019; 41(1): 18–24. [CrossRef]
- Mei SH, Haitsma JJ, Dos Santos CC, Deng Y, Lai PF, Slutsky AS, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells reduce inflammation while enhancing bacterial clearance and improving survival in sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 182(8): 1047–57. [CrossRef]
- Németh K, Leelahavanichkul A, Yuen PS, Mayer B, Parmelee A, Doi K, et al. Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate sepsis via prostaglandin E(2)-dependent reprogramming of host macrophages to increase their interleukin-10 production. Nat Med 2009; 15(1): 42–9. [CrossRef]
- Krasnodembskaya A, Song Y, Fang X, Gupta N, Serikov V, Lee JW, et al. Antibacterial effect of human mesenchymal stem cells is mediated in part from secretion of the antimicrobial peptide LL-37. Stem Cells 2010; 28(12): 2229–38. [CrossRef]
- Hackstein H, Lippitsch A, Krug P, Schevtschenko I, Kranz S, Hecker M, et al. Prospectively defined murine mesenchymal stem cells inhibit Klebsiella pneumoniae-induced acute lung injury and improve pneumonia survival. Respir Res 2015; 16: 123. [CrossRef]
- Hong J, Hueckelhoven A, Wang L, Schmitt A, Wuchter P, Tabarkiewicz J, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase mediates inhibition of virus-specific CD8(+) T cell proliferation by human mesenchymal stromal cells. Cytotherapy 2016; 18(5): 621–9. [CrossRef]
- Pittenger MF, Discher DE, Péault BM, Phinney DG, Hare JM, Caplan AI. Mesenchymal stem cell perspective: cell biology to clinical progress. NPJ Regen Med 2019; 4: 22. [CrossRef]
- Hayes M, Masterson C, Devaney J, Barry F, Elliman S, O'Brien T, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of human mesenchymal stromal cells in the repair of established ventilator-induced lung injury in the rat. Anesthesiology 2015; 122(2): 363–73. [CrossRef]