Erciyes Med J 2022; 44(3): 245–51 • DOI: 10.14744/etd.2021.39205 INVITED REVIEW – OPEN ACCESS





# Immunotherapies for Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Current State and Future Developments

George Deeb<sup>1</sup>, Nikolaos Papadanatonakis<sup>2</sup>

# ABSTRACT

topoiesis. Treatment remains challenging, especially for patients with unfavorable disease features. Hypomethylating agents have remained the standard of care for higher-risk MDS for almost 2 decades. A plethora of clinical trials utilizing different approaches ranging from small molecule inhibitors to antibodies are underway. In this mini review, we describe recent developments in treatment approaches that incorporate antibodies in the therapeutic context of MDS apart from immune checkpoint inhibitors. **Keywords:** Antibody, immunotherapy, MDS, myelodysplastic, treatment

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) encompass a heterogeneous set of myeloid neoplasms characterized by ineffective hema-

# INTRODUCTION

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) affect 60,000–170,000 patients in the United States of America, and the prevalence increases with advancing age (1). The presentation can vary, ranging from mild cytopenias, to transfusion dependency and frequent infections, to evolution into acute myeloid leukemia (AML).

Risk factors for the development of *de novo* MDS include exposure to toxic chemicals, such as benzene and other chemicals in tobacco products, and predisposing germline genetic abnormalities. Risk factors for therapy-related MDS include exposure to cytotoxic chemotherapy and/or external beam radiotherapy.

The therapeutic options for patients with high-risk MDS are limited; the hypomethylating agents have been the therapeutic standard for more than 2 decades. Recently, targeted therapeutic approaches utilizing small molecule inhibitors and antibodies against certain antigens expressed by the neoplastic cells have been developed and are being investigated in several clinical trials.

The aim of this mini review is to discuss most of the currently available antibody-mediated therapy options for MDS. The PubMed database, the ClinicalTrials.gov registry, and abstracts from recent conferences were examined in this review.

### **Biology of MDS**

MDS are clonal hematopoietic stem cell neoplasms that retain the capability of maturation and result in short-lived progenies with apoptotic propensity, which cause peripheral cytopenias, a hallmark of this disease. The increased apoptotic rate of the MDS hematopoietic cells is associated with proliferative hypercellular bone marrow, ineffective hematopoiesis, and intramedullary apoptosis. Although the exact MDS-initiating events and the biological pathways involved are not fully understood, it is accepted that the acquisition of driver mutations by MDS pluripotent stem cells confers a survival advantage and boosts cellular proliferation compared with wild-type cells. The propagation of the mutated clone is not initially coupled by blockage of differentiation; however, the acquisition of additional genetic drivers results in decreased apoptosis and blocking of differentiation that culminate in increased blasts, and thereafter transformation to AML, the final adverse outcome of this neoplasm. The pathobiology of MDS is complicated and determined by the interaction of various players within the bone marrow milieu. The defective genetic make-up of the MDS cells, per se, and likely of other hematologic and mesenchymal lineages in the marrow affects the intracellular, cell-cell, and cell-matrix biological interaction pathways, induces epigenetic changes, impairs paracrine communication and cytokine secretion, stimulates angiogenesis, and modulates the immune response. Theoretically, any of these pathogenic components or various combinations of them could be a potential therapeutic target for MDS.

Cite this article as: Deeb G, Papadanatonakis N. Immunotherapies for Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Current State and Future Developments. Erciyes Med J 2022; 44(3): 245-51.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, GA, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

Submitted 03.06.2021

Accepted 29.09.2021

Available Online 11.04.2022

Correspondence Nikolaos Papadanatonakis, Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University, Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA Phone: +1 404 778 1526 e-mail: nikolaos. papadantonakis@emory.edu

©Copyright 2022 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine -Available online at www.erciyesmedj.com

# **MDS Risk Stratification**

Historically, upon diagnosis of MDS, patients are stratified using prognostic scores. Many systems have been developed in recent years, but the most extensively used are the International Prognostic Score System (IPSS) and the revised IPSS (R-IPSS) (2).

The 2 prognostic scores weigh variables differently; the R-IPSS includes more granularity in the degree of cytopenia and blast burden. Patients are grouped into low-high risk categories.

#### **Therapeutic approaches in MDS**

Lower-risk MDS patients may be followed with count monitoring or treated with erythropoietin-stimulating agents or lenalidomide, although a sizable percentage will not respond or will lose response to these modalities. In some cases, outside of clinical trials, hypomethylating agents (HMA), such as azacitidine and decitabine, may be offered.

MDS patients falling in the higher-risk groups are usually afflicted by cytopenias that can lead to transfusion requirements and infectious complications and affect the quality of life. In addition, the propensity to develop AML is higher in this group. Higher-risk MDS patients are typically offered treatment with HMA outside clinical trials and/or referred for allogeneic bone marrow stem cell transplant (alloHSCT) evaluation, which is the only potentially curative modality. However, many patients are not candidates or decline alloHSCT treatment. Moreover, relapse can occur after alloHSCT, and non-relapse mortality after alloHSCT can be significant, depending on the regimen used. HMA may be used prior to alloHSCT, and data from retrospective studies suggest that HMA may have a beneficial impact on patients who proceed with alloHSCT.

#### **Hypomethylating Agents**

The backbone of treatment for higher-risk MDS is based on HMA, although the mode of action is not yet completely understood. The prevalent theory of the mechanism involves modulation of the methylation profile of key genes. Other proposed mechanisms include direct cytotoxic effect (at high doses) and modulation of the immune system.

The currently approved treatments include azacitidine, decitabine, and oral formulation of decitabine/cedazuridine. Azacitidine has shown efficacy in a phase III, open-label clinical trial with a median survival of 24 months (3). Real-world studies have indicated that the prognosis with azacitidine is less favorable, with a median overall survival (OS) of 13–16 months (4).

Another option is decitabine. The outcomes of patients with MDS in a phase III clinical trial were not as favorable as those reported for azacytidine (5). Oral decitabine/cedazuridine was approved for use in the USA and Canada in 2020.

It should be noted that responses such as complete remission (CR) are infrequent (3, 5, 6), but patients benefit from hematological improvement (HI) in terms of neutrophil, hemoglobin, and platelet count. Patients treated with HMA relapse, even if they initially respond. The mechanisms leading to relapse are not well understood. Attempts to obtain CR with novel agents may be associated with better outcomes both in patients who are candidates for alloHSCT and those who cannot proceed with this approach. There are no approved treatments for patients with MDS who have failed or relapsed after HMA use. Therefore, a key objective for treatment-naïve, higher-risk MDS patients is to maximize and maintain the CR rates. A more difficult task is to overcome the resistance to treatment.

#### **MDS Clinical Trial Landscape**

Many clinical trials have combined HMA with other compounds in higher-risk MDS. So far, these trials have not resulted in conclusively better outcomes when compared with HMA alone. Cytopenias and additive toxicities have limited the application of these combinations. Current clinical trials include combinations of HMA with various agents, such as venetoclax, pevonedistat, isocitrate dehydrogenase and poly-ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitors, and molecules that target mutated TP53 protein. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy approaches are also gaining momentum. Furthermore, another important component of MDS clinical trials is antibody-directed therapies, including immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with HMA.

#### **Targeting CD33**

Gemtuzumab ozogomycin (GO) targets the CD33 epitope that is found in the majority of myeloid blasts. Upon internalization, the attached toxin is released and inflicts DNA damage. Daver et al. (7) reported on a phase II clinical trial that used decitabine 20 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV for 5 days with a single 3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose of GO at day 5. Further cycles of this combination were administered depending on the response to treatment (7). The study was focused mainly on patients with AML.

Among 15 patients with untreated MDS, the CR/complete remission with incomplete count recovery (CRi) rate was 33% and the 2-month mortality was 20%. The median OS was only 5.7 months, significantly less than that of the historical cohort of the institution treated with HMA alone or in combination with histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors.

Infections were prevalent in the study and may have impacted the administration of the combination of GO/HMA (median number of cycles: 3). Moreover, the 5 patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) MDS were not reported to have evidence of greater OS.

Arsenic trioxide (ATO) was combined with GO in another study, which included patients with MDS, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) and AML (8). Fifteen patients with MDS were enrolled. The majority had higher-risk MDS and had not previously had remission induction chemotherapy. Few patients had exposure to HMA. No cases of complete remission were noted, however, the overwhelming majority of patients had stable disease (8). Toxicities were not reported separately, though cytopenias were very common. Grade 3 pneumonia and dyspnea were reported in 17% and 13% of patients.

A phase II clinical trial explored the use of GO with intensive chemotherapy in patients with MDS or secondary AML (sAML) arising from MDS (9). Thirty patients were included. Only 13 patients had strictly defined MDS according to World Health Organization criteria (refractory anemia with excess blasts [RAEB] 1/2), 14 patients had RAEB in transformation/sAML, and the remainder had CMML. The chemotherapy program included a cytarabine continuous infusion of 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for 10 days in combination with idarubicin 12 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 1, 3, and 5, as well as GO at a dose of 5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 7. Patients could receive another cycle of the regimen if partial remission (PR) was achieved. Patients who attained CR/CRi received a consolidation therapy of cytarabine and idarubicin without GO. Forty-three percent of all of the patients achieved CR/CRi. Infections and pulmonary and liver toxicity were seen in some patients. Seventeen percent of the patients died within 40 days of starting treatment. Of note, patients with poor-risk cytogenetics per the IPSS had a particularly poor prognosis and all of those patients died within a year of the study initiation.

Vadastuximab talirine is a humanized IgG1 antibody that recognizes the CD33 epitope conjugated to pyrrolobenzodiazepine dimer. The immunoconjugate was tested in AML and MDS patients. A review of data from a randomized clinical trial of vadastuximab talirine plus HMA versus HMA alone revealed increased mortality in the vadastuximab talirine arm. These findings led to termination of clinical trials (NCT02706899). It is difficult to draw conclusions regarding toxicities and efficacy in this patient population, given that few patients were enrolled and none completed the study.

### **Targeting CD47**

CD47 (integrin associated protein) is expressed in various malignancies, including MDS. Of note, CD47 has a wide expression repertoire in normal tissues (10). The role of CD47 is being explored, as it interacts with key proteins that regulate angiogenesis and FAS-mediated apoptosis as well as with integrins (10). In normal cells, the CD47 pathway prevents phagocytosis of cells by macrophages through interaction with signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRP $\alpha$ ) (11). Experiments with mice have indicated that red blood cells lacking CD47 were rapidly phagocytized by macrophages in the spleen (12). In the context of MDS, data indicate that CD47 interacts with SIRP $\alpha$  on macrophages, inhibiting phagocytosis (13). The expression of CD47 in MDS is variable, with higher expression noted in high-risk MDS patients (RAEB) (14). Intriguingly, progenitor cells in low-risk MDS demonstrate increased expression of calreticulin, a pro-phagocytic signal, and CD47 is not increased when compared with normal progenitors (14).

Antibodies targeting the CD47 pathway have been engineered not only to block the interaction of CD47 with SIRP $\alpha$  but also to engage macrophages through their Fc component. The mechanism of anti-CD47 strategies involves inhibiting the phagocytic activity of macrophages. HMA can enhance the expression of molecules and increase phagocytosis, and anti-CD47 antibodies can also promote phagocytosis.

An early-stage clinical trial [NCT02641002] evaluated use of a humanized anti-CD47 antibody [CC-90002] in patients with R/R AML (24 patients) including 4 high-risk MDS patients (15). CC-90002 was administered once weekly for 4 weeks in a 6-week cycle. After cycle 4, CC-90002 was administered once every 4 weeks. The best overall response was a stable disease assessment in 2 MDS patients. CC-90002 did not reduce transfusion requirements. The most common adverse events were diarrhea, thrombocytopenia, transaminitis, and infections. Dose-limiting toxicities

(DLT) included cerebral hemorrhage, acute respiratory failure, and congestive heart failure. The study results did not indicate a strong enough response to proceed with further testing (15).

TTI-621, a humanized antibody consisting of the CD47-binding domain of human SIRP $\alpha$  and the Fc region of human IgG1, was evaluated in a clinical trial that included MDS patients (16). The study used weekly infusions of TTI-621 for 3 weeks in a dose-escalation group to assess DLT. A dose-expansion group included TTI-621 as monotherapy or in combination with rituximab or nivolumab. The study included 6 patients with MDS in the dose-expansion group, representing 4% of patients in that cohort. Treatment-emergent adverse events were noted in 98% of patients. Infusion-related reactions were noted in 43% of patients, thrombocytopenia in 26%. One of the MDS patients had grade 3 bleeding (epistaxis). None of the MDS patients achieved complete or partial remission (16).

ALX148 is a humanized antibody that contains part of the D1 domain of SIRP $\alpha$  with amino acid modifications that increase affinity for CD47 to the picomolar range (17). Moreover, the Fc portion has been engineered to prevent interaction with C1q protein and Fc gamma receptor (17). ALX148 is devoid of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) activity and does not cause hemagglutination *in vitro* (17).

The combination of ALX148 with azacitidine is being tested in an ongoing phase I/II clinical trial in patients with higher-risk MDS (NCT04417517). The provided estimated enrollment is 173 participants. The first patient received treatment in late 2020.

IBI188 is a humanized IgG4 antibody also engineered to interfere with CD47 signaling (18). IBI188 is being tested in clinical trials in combination with azacitidine in patients newly diagnosed with MDS (NCT04511975 and NCT04485065).

Other molecules that target the CD47 pathway, such as SRF231 and TTI-622, have not been tested in clinical trials that included patients with MDS.

Magrolimab is a humanized IgG1 antibody targeting CD47 and is in the most advanced phase of testing in comparison with other antibodies targeting CD47. Early clinical trials of magrolimab with azacitidine have been promising (19), and a phase III clinical trial is underway to explore the impact of azacitidine with magrolimab versus azacitidine monotherapy. Magrolimab is administered in a stepwise "priming" approach to avoid hemolysis of red blood cells.

An important phase Ib clinical trial (19) utilizing magrolimab included 39 MDS patients with at least intermediate-risk based on the R-IPSS. Thirty-one percent of patients had therapy-related MDS, and 64% had poor cytogenetics. Thirty of the 33 evaluable MDS patients had a response; 66% had CR or marrow CR, 3% demonstrated PR, and hematological improvement alone was noted in 21% of the patients. Minimal residual disease (MRD) negativity was achieved in 22% of the patients with CR/marrow CR. Seventy-five percent of the patients with TP53 mutations had an overall response. Reported toxicities among both MDS and AML patients included cytopenias, fatigue, and infusion reactions.

Importantly, responses were lasting, and 58% of the MDS patients became transfusion independent.

### **Targeting CD70**

Seminal studies have demonstrated that CD70 and its receptor CD27 are expressed in AML cells and may play a role in the pathogenesis of AML (20). Cusatuzumab is a monoclonal antibody with augmented ADCC targeting the CD70 epitope. Two studies of MDS are recruiting (NCT04150887) or active but not recruiting (NCT03030612). Another antibody targeting CD70 is Sea-CD70 (SGNS70-101). A clinical trial enrolling patients with R/R MDS is underway (NCT04227847).

### **Targeting CD123**

The interleukin 3 (IL-3) receptor alpha chain (CD123) is an attractive target, as it has low expression in cells involved in hematopoiesis, but is found in MDS and AML leukemic stem cells (21), SL-401 is an antibody immunoconjugate that has a truncated diphtheria toxin linked to IL-3 to allow targeting of CD123 (22). The internalized diphtheria toxin exerts an antineoplastic effect by interfering with protein synthesis (23). Blasts derived from MDS patients have been reported to express CD123, and SL-401 depleted CD123+ MDS blasts ex-vivo (24). A phase I clinical trial included 5 patients with MDS (25). The majority of the patients (3/5) had prior exposure to HMA and were elderly (all but 1 patient were at least 71 years old). The patients were treated with 6 doses at 1 of 5 dose levels (range: 4-12.5 microgram/kg) every other day. One of the MDS patients with prior exposure to HMA and unfavorable cytogenetics had PR that lasted 4 months. Patients enrolled in the study experienced transaminitis, hypoalbuminemia, hypotension, febrile episodes, and vascular leak syndrome.

SL-401 has been approved for the treatment of blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (BPDCN). A clinical trial (NCT03113643) is ongoing and is recruiting patients with high-risk MDS who will be treated with SL-401 and azacitidine.

Talacotuzumab is an IgG1 monoclonal antibody that recruits natural killer cells to induce ADCC and interferes with CD123 signaling. Results of a phase II clinical trial using talacotuzumab in patients with AML and MDS who had relapsed or were resistant to HMA were recently published (21). Five MDS patients with an intermediate or high IPSS score were included. Talacotuzumab was given every 2 weeks over 3 months. The toxicities recorded were significant, with frequent infections and cytopenias observed, and 1 patient developed reversible Guillain-Barre syndrome. The 4-week mortality was 20%. Only 2 of 24 patients had a response: CRi and HI-erythroid, respectively. None of the MDS patients demonstrated a response.

KHK2823 is a non-fucosylated human monoclonal antibody targeting CD123. A phase I clinical trial (NCT02181699) recruited AML and R/R MDS patients; however, the study was terminated and results are not available.

# **Targeting TIM3**

T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3 (TIM3) has attracted attention in the context of MDS therapies. TIM3, a type I transmembrane glycoprotein with a repertoire of expression mainly in cells with a role in immunity (26), has been associated with inhibition of the cytotoxic CD8 T-cell function. The expression of TIM3 is increased in T-regulatory cells of MDS patients compared with controls and appears to be greater in patients with high-risk MDS (26). Importantly, the frequency of T-regulatory cells and T-helper type 2 cells is greater in high-risk MDS patients than in control or low-risk MDS samples (26). In contrast, the same study reported that the number of T-helper 1 cells was lower in high-risk MDS patients when compared with control and low-risk MDS patients.

TIM3 expression was reported to be higher in CD8-positive T cells derived from patients with MDS compared with controls (27). Moreover, TIM3-positive CD8-positive T cells derived from MDS had less granzyme B/perforin but greater Fas expression than TIM3-negative CD8-positive T cells (27).

Expression of TIM3 has also been detected in stem cells (defined as CD34+ CD38– Lin-cells) of untreated patients with MDS, while expression in healthy patients was low (28). The expression of TIM3 was higher in patients with increased blasts, those harboring cells with abnormal karyotype, or patients with cytopenia (neutropenia and anemia) (28). TIM3 is expressed in AML blasts, and a provocative hypothesis is that TIM3 upregulation may be beneficial to AML blast proliferation (29). It is unclear if a similar role can be postulated for MDS stem cells.

Clinical trials involving TIM3 antibodies (e.g., MBG453 [Sabatolimab]) and MDS are ongoing. Sabatolimab is a humanized IgG4 antibody targeting TIM3 and has been tested in clinical trials including both higher- and lower-risk MDS patients.

A phase Ib open-label study recruited patients with AML and highrisk MDS (30). Sabatolimab was combined with HMA. Thirty-nine patients with high-risk MDS were included (R-IPSS category at least high). Sabatolimab was used at a dose of 240 mg or 400 mg (days 8 and 22) or 800 mg every 4 weeks (administrated on day 8). Among 35 patients with MDS who were evaluable, the overall response rate (ORR) was 62.9%: 8 patients achieved CR, 8 marrow CR, and 6 stable disease with HI. Eight patients with MDS proceeded to alloHSCT. Notably, in the very high-risk MDS subgroup, the ORR was relatively high (11/13 patients).

The ORR was 61.1% in patients for whom sabatolimab was combined with decitabine (n=18), and 64.7% when combined with azacitidine (n=17). Toxicities were common, and patients with MDS experienced at least grade 3 neutropenia (46.1%), thrombocytopenia (51.2%), febrile neutropenia (41%), or anemia (28.2%). One patient with MDS died from septic shock and enterocolitis. None of the MDS patients discontinued treatment secondary to adverse events. Immune-mediated adverse events were noted in patients with AML, and included arthritis, possible hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, increase in alanine aminotransferase, and hypothyroidism. A phase III trial comparing the combination of TIM3 antibody with azacitidine versus placebo and azacitidine (NCT04266301) is ongoing.

# **Targeting C-Type Lectin-Like Molecule-1**

The C-type lectin-like molecule 1 (CLL-1) is encoded by a gene located on chromosome 12p13.31. It has a limited repertoire of expression, and myeloid cells appear to lose CLL-1 expression when migrating to tissues. CLL-1 expression was detected in spleen cells and leukocytes (monocytes, dendritic cells, and granulocytes) in an important study (31). However, dendritic cells and macrophages did not express CLL-1 in tissue-sample testing. CLL-1 detection was noted only in a small fraction of the CD34+ cells in bone mar-

Trial	Phase	Patients included	Outcome	Reference
Decitabine+GO	II	AML, MDS (HMA naïve)	CR/CRi: 33%	(7)
Arsenic trioxide+GO	II	Secondary AML, MDS	3/15 MDS patients with hematological improvement.	(8)
Intensive chemotherapy+GO	II	Treatment naïve MDS, secondary AML arising from MDS, CMML	Overall CR/CRi: 43%	(9)
Talacotuzumab	II	AML, MDS relapsed or refractory to HMA.	MDS patients did not respond.	(21)
Magrolimab+ azacitidine	Ι	MDS, AML	ORR for MDS: 90%, CR: 42%	(19)
Sabatolimab+HMA	Ι	MDS, AML	ORR for 35 patients with MDS: 62.9%	(30)
SL-401	Ι	AML, MDS	MDS-PR: 20%	(25)
Alemtuzumab	I/II	De novo MDS	HI: 51%	
			CR (per protocol definition): 31%	(33)
Rhenium 188-labeled anti-CD66	I/II	AML, MDS	Trial involved patients receiving alloHSCT. No reports of ORR	(36)

MDS: Myelodysplastic syndromes; AML: Acute myeloid leukemia; HMA: Hypomethylating agents; ORR: Overall response rate; CMML: Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; HI: Hematological improvement; CR: Complete remission

row samples. AML blasts were found to have high CLL-1 expression in 68 of 74 samples. Expression in MDS samples was variable and not consistent with AML results.

Currently, clinical trials using monoclonal antibodies against CLL-1 in patients with MDS have not been reported. An antibody conjugate targeting CLL-1 was tested in patients with R/R AML (32). However, toxicities were significant, and further development is not anticipated.

#### **Targeting CD52**

Aletuzumab is a monoclonal antibody targeting CD52. Long-term outcomes of a clinical trial that enrolled MDS patients were recently reported (33). The trial enrolled de novo MDS patients with transfusion dependence or significant cytopenias, and there was a likelihood of response based on a model incorporating HLA-DR15 status, age, and months that the patient had been transfusion dependent. The patients received alemtuzumab 10 mg daily for 10 days. Thirty-nine evaluable patients were included. The majority of patients had an R-IPSS categorization at least intermediate (72%). Fifty-one percent of the patients demonstrated hematological improvement with a median duration of 1.5 years. Moreover, 31% of the patients had CR with a median response duration of 30 months. The median OS for responders was 7 years (range: 1.5–10 years). Toxicities included liver enzyme elevations, cytomegalovirus/Epstein-Barr virus re-activation, and infusion reactions, but the authors reported that the alemtuzumab was well tolerated overall.

#### **Radioimmunoconjugates**

The study of antibodies that are linked to radioactive isotopes spans several decades (34). Radioimmunoconjugates have some advantages, at least in theory, compared with immunoconjugates employing toxins. The radioimmunoconjugates can affect tumor cells in the vicinity of the target even if those tumor cells have limited expression of the targeted epitope, and the activity may persist over time. The enthusiasm for radioimmunoconjugates is hampered by the potential for myelosuppression, and the experience in clinical trials indicates potential for fatigue or asthenia (34), which may already affect patients with MDS. Currently, there is no approved radioimmunoconjugate for the treatment of MDS.

Rhenium-188, a Beta emitter, was conjugated with a murine IgG1 antibody and used in alloHSCT clinical trials with AML and MDS patients (35). One study of AML patients that included 4 MDS patients revealed a disease-free survival of 18 months with treatment-related mortality of 22%; renal toxicity was noted in 17% of patients (36). One MDS patient died from toxicity, and another due to relapse. However, some authors have reported a high incidence of graft-versus-host disease (as high as ~80%) and treatment-related mortality of ~50% in a predominantly lymphoid disease population (37). The varied results may be related to the different disease populations and manipulation of the graft.

Other radioimmunoconjugates that have been tested in clinical trials include iodine linked to BC8 or anti-CD33 antibodies (38). However, further development of radioimmunoconjugates for MDS has not been robust.

# **Checkpoint Inhibitors**

Immune checkpoint inhibitors have attracted attention for use in hematological malignancies after practice-changing results in solid malignancies, especially melanoma. The research on immune checkpoint inhibitors in MDS was recently reviewed (39). Monotherapies have not resulted in high response rates, and combinations with HMA are being tested (40).

# **CONCLUSIONS**

MDS comprise a spectrum of hematological malignancies that are difficult to treat. The standard of care remains HMAs. The experience accumulated with HMAs has led to a better understanding of the MDS pathophysiology and novel approaches are being tested. Furthermore, a plethora of antibody-based clinical trials are underway and the results are eagerly awaited. This review highlighted existing published studies (Table 1) and outlined some ongoing studies. Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Andrea Wecker for proofreading the manuscript. We apologize to colleagues whose work was not cited or only cited indirectly given the constrains on references that could be included.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – NP, GD; Supervision – NP; Literature Search – NP; Writing – NP, GD; Critical Reviews – NP, GD.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: NP reports research support paid to the institution by Abbvie, Immunogen, Takeda, Gilead. GD does not report confict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Cogle CR. Incidence and burden of the myelodysplastic syndromes. Curr Hematol Malig Rep 2015; 10(3): 272–81. [CrossRef]
- Jonas BA, Greenberg PL. MDS prognostic scoring systems past, present, and future. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2015; 28(1): 3–13.
- Fenaux P, Mufti GJ, Hellstrom-Lindberg E, Santini V, Finelli C, Giagounidis A, et al; International Vidaza High-Risk MDS Survival Study Group. Efficacy of azacitidine compared with that of conventional care regimens in the treatment of higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes: a randomised, open-label, phase III study. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10(3): 223–32. [CrossRef]
- Zeidan AM, Stahl M, DeVeaux M, Giri S, Huntington S, Podoltsev N, et al. Counseling patients with higher-risk MDS regarding survival with azacitidine therapy: are we using realistic estimates? Blood Cancer J 2018; 8(6): 55. [CrossRef]
- Kantarjian H, Issa JP, Rosenfeld CS, Bennett JM, Albitar M, DiPersio J, et al. Decitabine improves patient outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes: results of a phase III randomized study. Cancer 2006; 106(8): 1794–803. [CrossRef]
- Steensma DP, Baer MR, Slack JL, Buckstein R, Godley LA, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Multicenter study of decitabine administered daily for 5 days every 4 weeks to adults with myelodysplastic syndromes: the alternative dosing for outpatient treatment (ADOPT) trial. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(23): 3842–8. [CrossRef]
- Daver N, Kantarjian H, Ravandi F, Estey E, Wang X, Garcia-Manero G, et al. A phase II study of decitabine and gemtuzumab ozogamicin in newly diagnosed and relapsed acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome. Leukemia 2016; 30(2): 268–73. [CrossRef]
- Sekeres MA, Maciejewski JP, Erba HP, Afable M, Englehaupt R, Sobecks R, et al. A Phase 2 study of combination therapy with arsenic trioxide and gemtuzumab ozogamicin in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes or secondary acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer 2011; 117(6): 1253–61. [CrossRef]
- de Witte T, Suciu S, Meert L, Halkes C, Selleslag D, Bron D, et al. Idarubicin and cytarabine in combination with gemtuzumab ozogamicin (IAGO) for untreated patients with high-risk MDS or AML evolved from MDS: a phase II study from the EORTC and GIMEMA Leukemia Groups (protocol 06013). Ann Hematol 2015; 94(12): 1981–9.
- Eladl E, Tremblay-LeMay R, Rastgoo N, Musani R, Chen W, Liu A, et al. Role of CD47 in Hematological Malignancies. J Hematol Oncol 2020; 13(1): 96. [CrossRef]
- Bian Z, Shi L, Guo YL, Lv Z, Tang C, Niu S, et al. Cd47-Sirpα interaction and IL-10 constrain inflammation-induced macrophage phagocytosis of healthy self-cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016; 113(37): E5434–43. [CrossRef]
- Oldenborg PA, Zheleznyak A, Fang YF, Lagenaur CF, Gresham HD, Lindberg FP. Role of CD47 as a marker of self on red blood cells. Sci-

ence 2000; 288(5473): 2051-4. [CrossRef]

- Chao MP, Takimoto CH, Feng DD, McKenna K, Gip P, Liu J, et al. Therapeutic targeting of the macrophage immune checkpoint CD47 in myeloid malignancies. Front Oncol 2020; 9: 1380. [CrossRef]
- Pang WW, Pluvinage JV, Price EA, Sridhar K, Arber DA, Greenberg PL, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell and progenitor cell mechanisms in myelodysplastic syndromes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013; 110(8): 3011–6. [CrossRef]
- Zeidan AM, DeAngelo DJ, Palmer J, Seet CS, Tallman MS, Wei X, et al. Phase 1 study of anti-CD47 monoclonal antibody CC-90002 in patients with relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. Ann Hematol 2022; 101(3): 557–69.
- Ansell SM, Maris MB, Lesokhin AM, Chen RW, Flinn IW, Sawas A, et al. Phase I study of the CD47 blocker TTI-621 in patients with relapsed or refractory hematologic malignancies. Clin Cancer Res 2021; 27(8): 2190–9. [CrossRef]
- Kauder SE, Kuo TC, Harrabi O, Chen A, Sangalang E, Doyle L, et al. ALX148 blocks CD47 and enhances innate and adaptive antitumor immunity with a favorable safety profile. PLoS One 2018; 13(8): e0201832. [CrossRef]
- Lakhani N, Orloff M, Fu S, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhou H, et al. 295 Firstin-human phase I trial of IBI188, an anti-CD47 targeting monoclonal antibody, in patients with advanced solid tumors and lymphomas. J Immunother Cancer 2020; 8: A180. [CrossRef]
- Sallman DA, Al Malki M, Asch AS, Lee DJ, Kambhampati S, Donnellan WB, et al. Tolerability and efficacy of the first-in-class anti-CD47 antibody magrolimab combined with azacitidine in MDS and AML patients: Phase Ib results. J Clin Oncol 2020; 38(15): 7507. [CrossRef]
- Riether C, Pabst T, Höpner S, Bacher U, Hinterbrandner M, Banz Y, et al. Targeting CD70 with cusatuzumab eliminates acute myeloid leukemia stem cells in patients treated with hypomethylating agents. Nat Med 2020; 26(9): 1459–67. [CrossRef]
- Kubasch AS, Schulze F, Giagounidis A, Götze KS, Krönke J, Sockel K, et al. Single agent talacotuzumab demonstrates limited efficacy but considerable toxicity in elderly high-risk MDS or AML patients failing hypomethylating agents. Leukemia 2020; 34(4): 1182–6. [CrossRef]
- Alkharabsheh O, Frankel AE. Clinical activity and tolerability of SL-401 (Tagraxofusp): Recombinant diphtheria toxin and Interleukin-3 in hematologic malignancies. Biomedicines 2019; 7(1): 6. [CrossRef]
- Allahyari H, Heidari S, Ghamgosha M, Saffarian P, Amani J. Immunotoxin: A new tool for cancer therapy. Tumour Biol 2017; 39(2): 1010428317692226. [CrossRef]
- 24. Mani R, Goswami S, Gopalakrishnan B, Ramaswamy R, Wasmuth R, Tran M, et al. The interleukin-3 receptor CD123 targeted SL-401 mediates potent cytotoxic activity against CD34+CD123+ cells from acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome patients and healthy donors. Haematologica 2018; 103(8): 1288–97. [CrossRef]
- Frankel A, Liu JS, Rizzieri D, Hogge D. Phase I clinical study of diphtheria toxin-interleukin 3 fusion protein in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplasia. Leuk Lymphoma 2008; 49(3): 543–53.
- Fu R, Li L, Hu J, Wang Y, Tao J, Liu H, et al. Elevated TIM3 expression of T helper cells affects immune system in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome. J Investig Med 2019; 67(8): 1125–30. [CrossRef]
- Tao J, Li L, Wang Y, Fu R, Wang H, Shao Z. Increased TIM3+CD8+T cells in Myelodysplastic Syndrome patients displayed less perforin and granzyme B secretion and higher CD95 expression. Leuk Res 2016; 51: 49–55. [CrossRef]
- Tao JL, Li LJ, Fu R, Wang HQ, Jiang HJ, Yue LZ, et al. Elevated TIM3+ hematopoietic stem cells in untreated myelodysplastic syndrome displayed aberrant differentiation, overproliferation and decreased apoptosis. Leuk Res 2014; 38(6): 714–21. [CrossRef]

- Roth CG, Garner K, Eyck ST, Boyiadzis M, Kane LP, Craig FE. TIM3 expression by leukemic and non-leukemic myeloblasts. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2013; 84(3): 167–72. [CrossRef]
- 30. Brunner AM, Esteve J, Porkka K, Knapper S, Vey N, Scholl S, et al. Efficacy and safety of Sabatolimab (MBG453) in combination with Hypomethylating Agents (HMAs) in patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome (HR-MDS): Updated results from a phase 1b study. Blood 2020; 136(Supp 1): 1–2.
- Bakker AB, van den Oudenrijn S, Bakker AQ, Feller N, van Meijer M, Bia JA, et al. C-type lectin-like molecule-1: a novel myeloid cell surface marker associated with acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Res 2004; 64(22): 8443–50. [CrossRef]
- 32. Daver N, Salhotra A, Brandwein JM, Podoltsev NA, Pollyea DA, Jurcic JG, et al. A Phase I dose-escalation study of DCLL9718S, an antibody-drug conjugate targeting C-type lectin-like molecule-1 (CLL-1) in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Am J Hematol 2021; 96(5): E175–9. [CrossRef]
- 33. Lai C, Ranpura V, Wu C, Olnes MJ, Parikh AR, Shenoy A, et al. Long-term outcomes in myelodysplastic syndrome patients treated with alemtuzumab. Blood Adv 2019; 3(7): 980–3. Erratum in: Blood Adv 2019; 3(11): 1657. [CrossRef]
- Litvak-Greenfeld D, Benhar I. Risks and untoward toxicities of antibody-based immunoconjugates. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2012; 64(15):

1782-99. [CrossRef]

- Lepareur N, Lacoeuille F, Bouvry C, Hindré F, Garcion E, Chérel M, et al. Rhenium-188 labeled radiopharmaceuticals: Current clinical applications in oncology and promising perspectives. Front Med (Lausanne) 2019; 6: 132. [CrossRef]
- 36. Bunjes D, Buchmann I, Duncker C, Seitz U, Kotzerke J, Wiesneth M, et al. Rhenium 188-labeled anti-CD66 (a, b, c, e) monoclonal antibody to intensify the conditioning regimen prior to stem cell transplantation for patients with high-risk acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome: results of a phase I-II study. Blood 2001; 98(3): 565–72.
- Klein SA, Hermann S, Dietrich JW, Hoelzer D, Martin H. Transplantation-related toxicity and acute intestinal graft-versus-host disease after conditioning regimens intensified with Rhenium 188-labeled anti-CD66 monoclonal antibodies. Blood 2002; 99(6): 2270–1. [CrossRef]
- Appelbaum FR. Immunobiologic therapies for myelodysplastic syndrome. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2004; 17(4): 653–61. [CrossRef]
- Chokr N, Patel R, Wattamwar K, Chokr S. The rising era of immune checkpoint inhibitors in Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Adv Hematol 2018; 2018: 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bewersdorf JP, Zeidan AM. Randomized trials with checkpoint inhibitors in acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes: What have we learned so far and where are we heading? Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2020; 33(4): 101222. [CrossRef]